IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

REX C. RANDALL,

Petitioner, No. 3:16-CV-00604-YY

v. ORDER

RICHARD IVES,

Respondent.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation [8] on November 14, 2016, in which she recommends that this Court deny Mr. Randall's Petition for Habeas Corpus Relief [1] under U.S.C. § 2254. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because neither party timely filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. <u>United States v. Reyna-Tapia</u>, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); <u>see also United States v. Bernhardt</u>,

840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge You's Findings & Recommendation [8].

Accordingly, Mr. Randall's Petition for Habeas Corpus Relief [1] is denied. Additionally, the

Court declines to issue a Certificate of Appealability because Mr. Randall has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this day of , 2017

MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ United States District Judge